25

26

27

28

1

2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: CR 06-777, 06-779 YGR
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR STAY
v. David Charles Bailey,	Re: Dkt. No. 64

The Government's Motion for Stay is **DENIED** for failure to demonstrate that such stay is warranted. See Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co., 498 F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir. 2007); Yong v. I.N.S., 208 F.3d 1116, 1120 (9th Cir. 2000) (noting that special considerations implicated by habeas proceedings place unique limits on discretionary stays); see also Order, Jacob v. United States, Case No. 15-73302 (9th Cir. Aug. 1, 2016) (Dkt. No. 39) (lifting stay and finding petitioner could proceed based on a prima facie showing under Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), which has a retroactive effect in cases on collateral review per Welch v. United States, 136 S. Ct. 1257, 1264–68 (2016)); Order, Gardner v. United States, Case No. 15-72559 (9th Cir. Aug. 1, 2016) (Dkt. No. 42) (same).

This Order terminates Docket No. 64.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Defendant.

Date: August 16, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE